### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

ROBERT TAMEZ,	§
The state of the s	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
v.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:16-CV-492
	§
ALLSTATE FIRE AND CASUALTY	§
INSURANCE COMPANY, MIKE CAMP	§
AND RICH LEE,	§
	§
Defendants.	§

# DEFENDANT ALLSTATE FIRE AND CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL

#### TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446, Defendant Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company in Cause No. 2016-CI-05923, pending in the 73rd Judicial District Court of Bexar County, Texas, files this Notice of Removal from that court to the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, San Antonio Division, on the basis of diversity of citizenship and amount in controversy and respectfully shows:

# I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

1.1 On or about April 5, 2016, Plaintiff filed Plaintiff's Original Petition in the matter styled Robert Tamez v. Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company, Mike Camp and Rich Lee, Cause No. 2016-CI-05923, pending in the 73rd Judicial District Court of Bexar County, Texas, in which Plaintiff made a claim for damages to his home under a homeowner's insurance policy with Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company.

- 1.2 Plaintiff served Defendant Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company ("Allstate") with Plaintiff's Original Petition and process on May 2, 2016, by certified mail through its registered agent, CT Corporation System.
- 1.3 Plaintiff has not yet served Defendant Mike Camp ("Camp") with Plaintiff's Original Petition.
- 1.4 Plaintiff has not yet served Defendant Rich Lee ("Lee") with Plaintiff's Original Petition.
- 1.5 Simultaneously with the filing of this notice of removal, all pleadings, process, orders, and other filings in the state court action are attached to this Notice as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a). Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a copy of the citation served on Allstate. A copy of Plaintiff's Original Petition is attached as Exhibit "B," and a copy of Defendant Allstate Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company's Original Answer to Plaintiff's Original Petition is attached as Exhibit "C."

### II. BASIS FOR REMOVAL

- 2.1 Defendant files this notice of removal within 30 days of receiving Plaintiff's Original Petition. See 28 U.S.C. §1446(b). This Notice of Removal is being filed within one year of the commencement of this action. See id.
- 2.2 Removal is proper based upon diversity of citizenship under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a)(1), 1441(a), and 1446.

- A. THE PROPER PARTIES ARE OF DIVERSE CITIZENSHIP AND THE COURT SHOULD DISREGARD THE CITIZENSHIP OF DEFENDANTS MIKE CAMP AND RICH LEE BECAUSE THEY HAVE HAS BEEN IMPROPERLY JOINED IN THIS LAWSUIT.
- 2.3 Plaintiff is, and was at the time the lawsuit was filed, a natural person and a resident of Bexar County in the State of Texas and thus, is a citizen of Texas. See Plaintiff's Original Petition, §II. On information and belief, Plaintiff intends to continue residing in Texas and is thus domiciled in Texas. See Hollinger v. Home State Mut. Ins. Co., 654 F.3d 564, 571 (5th Cir. 2011) (evidence of a person's place of residence is prima facie proof of his state of domicile, which presumptively continues unless rebutted with sufficient evidence of change).
- 2.4 Defendant Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company is an Illinois corporation with its principal place of business in Illinois and is a citizen of the State of Illinois for diversity purposes, and therefore, complete diversity exists.
- 2.5 Defendant Camp, although not a proper party to this lawsuit, is, and was at the time the lawsuit was filed, a citizen of the State of Texas. See Plaintiff's Original Petition, §II.
- 2.6 Defendant Lee, although not a proper party to this lawsuit, is, and was at the time the lawsuit was filed, a citizen of the State of Texas. See Plaintiff's Original Petition, §II.
  - B. ADJUSTER DEFENDANTS MIKE CAMP AND RICH LEE HAVE BEEN IMPROPERLY AND/OR FRAUDULENTLY JOINED IN THIS LAWSUIT.
- 2.7 With respect to the claims against adjuster Defendants Camp and Lee, it is Defendant Allstate's position that they have been fraudulently and/or improperly joined in this action and is therefore are not proper parties to this lawsuit. Therefore, the Texas citizenship of Defendants Camp and Lee should be disregarded for the purposes of evaluating diversity in this matter.
  - 2.8 The doctrine of fraudulent joinder ensures that the presence of an improperly

Case 5:16-cv-00492-DAE Document 1 Filed 06/01/16 Page 4 of 9

joined, non-diverse defendant does not defeat federal removal jurisdiction premised on diversity.

See Borden v. Allstate Ins. Co., 589 F.3d 168, 171 (5th Cir. 2009). Improper joinder is

established where the defendant shows (1) actual fraud in the jurisdictional pleadings of the

facts, or (2) inability of the plaintiff to establish a cause of action against the non-diverse party in

state court. Gasch v. Hartford Acc. & Indem. Co., 491 F.3d 278, 281 (5th Cir. 2007) (citing

Smallwood v. Illinois Cent. R.R. Co., 385 F.3d 568, 573 (5th Cir. 2004)); Jimenez v. Travelers

Indem. Co., No. H-09-1308, 2010 WL 1257802, \*1 (S.D. Tex. Mar. 25, 2010).

2.9 The test for improper joinder is that "there is no reasonable basis for the circuit

court to predict that the plaintiff might be able to recover against an in-state defendant."

Smallwood, 385 F.3d at 573; see Lakewood Chiropractic Clinic v. Travelers Lloyds Ins. Co., No.

H-09-1728, 2009 WL 3602043, at \*1 (S.D. Tex. Oct. 27, 2009). The court may conduct the test

using a Rule 12(b)(6) type analysis of the complaint to determine whether the plaintiff states a

claim or using a summary inquiry to identify discrete and undisputed facts that would preclude

recovery. See id. The failure to specify a legal and factual basis for a claim against a non-

diverse party constitutes a failure to state a claim and improper joinder of that party. Waters v.

State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 158 F.R.D. 107, 109 (S.D. Tex. 1994).

2.10 Here, Plaintiff fails to offer any specific facts in support of his claims against the

adjuster Defendants Camp and Lee and therefore fails to make the required "factual fit between

[their] allegations and the pleaded theory of recovery." Griggs v. State Farm Lloyds, 181 F.3d

694, 701 (5th Cir. 1999). See Plaintiff's Original Petition, §VI. Further, Plaintiff's conclusory

allegations are merely a recitation of the statutory language from Chapter 541 of the Texas

NOTICE OF REMOVAL - PAGE 4

Insurance Code and contain no reference to any material facts to which the law should apply. Plaintiff's Original Petition actually alleges nothing more than Defendants violated Chapter 541 of the Insurance Code.

2.11 Repeating statutory language is not the same as pleading actionable facts to which a statute should apply. To the contrary, Plaintiff's failure to mention any actionable facts related to the conduct of the adjuster Defendants Camp and Lee constitutes a failure to state a claim and improper joinder of that party. See, e.g., Lakewood Chiropractic Clinic, 2009 WL 3602043, at \*3 (holding that "near verbatim recitations of portions of Chapters 541 and 542 of the Texas Insurance Code" without "facts illustrating what actions are attributable to [the adjuster] individually" does not provide a reasonable basis of recovery); Weldon Contractors, Ltd. v. Fireman's Fund Ins. Co., 4:09-cv-165-A, 2009 WL 1437837, at \*3-4 (N.D. Tex. May 22, 2009) (finding allegations that listed Insurance Code provisions and asserted that "Defendants" violated such provisions "are really legal conclusions couched as factual allegations"); Broadway v. Brewer, No. 4:08CV475, 2009 WL 1445449, at \*2 (E.D. Tex. May 21, 2009) (holding that a petition listing statutory provisions of the Insurance Code that were violated by Defendants "does not, on its face, allege facts supporting an independent cause of action against [the

09999.999

Compare §VI (A) of Plaintiff's Original Petition with TEX. INS. CODE. ANN §§ 541.060 (a)(1), (2)(A), (3), (4)(A)-(B), and (7). The latter reads:

<sup>(</sup>a) It is an unfair method of competition or an unfair or deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance to engage in the following unfair settlement practices with respect to a claim by an insured or beneficiary:

<sup>(1)</sup> misrepresenting to a claimant a material fact or policy provision relating to coverage at issue;

<sup>(2)</sup> failing to attempt in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of:

<sup>(</sup>A) a claim with respect to which the insurer's liability has become reasonably clear;

<sup>(3)</sup> failing to promptly provide to a policyholder a reasonable explanation of the basis in the policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for the insurer's denial of a claim or offer of a compromise settlement of a claim;

<sup>(4)</sup> failing within a reasonable time to:

<sup>(</sup>A) affirm or deny coverage of a claim to a policyholder; or

<sup>(</sup>B) submit a reservation of rights to a policyholder;

<sup>(7)</sup> refusing to pay a claim without conducting a reasonable investigation with respect to the claim.

insurance agent]"); First Baptist Church of Mauriceville, Tex. v. GuideOne Mut. Ins. Co., No. 1:07-CV-988, 2008 WL 4533729, at \*6 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 29, 2008) (holding that the plaintiffs' Petition "failed to state specific factual averments identifying how [the adjuster] violated the law in a manner giving rise to the asserted claims"); Griggs, 181 F.3d at 701-02 (upholding denial of motion to remand where there were no factual allegations in plaintiff's petition to support claim against agent). Based upon the foregoing, adjuster Defendants Camp and Lee have been improperly and/or fraudulently joined in this action to defeat diversity jurisdiction.

# C. THE AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY EXCEEDS THE JURISDICTIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION.

2.12 In determining the amount in controversy, the court may consider "policy limits... penalties, statutory damages, and punitive damages." St. Paul Reinsurance Co., Ltd. v. Greenberg, 134 F.3d 1250, 1253 (5th Cir. 1998); see Ray v. State Farm Lloyds, No. CIV.A.3:98-CV-1288-G, 1999 WL 151667, at \* 2-3 (N.D. Tex. Mar. 10, 1999) (finding a sufficient amount in controversy in plaintiff's case against their insurance company for breach of contract, fraud, negligence, gross negligence, bad faith, violations of the Texas Insurance Code, violations of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and mental anguish); Fairmont Travel, Inc. v. George S. May Int'l Co., 75 F. Supp.2d 666, 668 (S.D. Tex. 1999) (considering DTPA claims and the potential for recovery of punitive damages for the amount in controversy determination); Chittick v. Farmers Ins. Exch., 844 F. Supp. 1153, 1155 (S.D. Tex. 1994) (finding a sufficient amount in controversy after considering the nature of the claims, the types of damages sought and the presumed net worth of the defendant in a claim brought by the insureds against their insurance company for actual and punitive damages arising from a claim they made for roof damages).

- 2.13 This is a civil action in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00.
  Plaintiff alleges that Defendants are liable under a residential insurance policy because Plaintiff made a claim under that policy and Defendants wrongfully adjusted and denied Plaintiff's claim.
- 2.14 Plaintiff has specifically pled that they are seeking monetary relief over \$100,000, but not more than \$200,000. See Plaintiff's Original Petition, \$XIII. This evidence clearly demonstrates that the amount in controversy in this case exceeds the jurisdictional requirements.

### III. THE REMOVAL IS PROCEDURALLY CORRECT

- 3.1 Defendant Allstate was served with Plaintiff's Original Petition and process on May 2, 2016. This notice of removal is filed within the 30-day time period required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).
- 3.2 Defendant Camp's consent and Defendant Lee's consent is not required in this case as they have not been served and they have been fraudulently joined solely to defeat diversity jurisdiction. See Jernigan v. Ashland Oil, Inc., 989 F.2d 812, 815 (5th Cir. 1993).
- 3.3 Venue is proper in this District and Division under 28 U.S.C. §1446(a) because this District and Division include the county in which the state action has been pending and because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims allegedly occurred in this District and Division.
- 3.4 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(a), all pleadings, process, orders, and all other filings in the state court action are attached to this Notice.
- 3.5 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), promptly after Defendant files this Notice, written notice of the filing will be given to Plaintiff, the adverse party.

3.6 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), a true and correct copy of this Notice of Removal will be filed with the Clerk of the Bexar County District Court, promptly after Defendant files this Notice.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

4.1 Based upon the foregoing, the exhibits submitted in support of this Removal and other documents filed contemporaneously with this Notice of Removal and fully incorporated herein by reference, Defendant Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company hereby removes this case to this Court for trial and determination.

Respectfully submitted,

#### /s/ Christina de la Garza

Christina de la Garza State Bar No. 24061898 cdelagarza@thompsoncoe.com

THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P. 701 Brazos Street, Suite 1500 Austin, Texas 78701 Telephone: (512) 703-5067 Facsimile: (512) 708-8777

Roger D. Higgins
State Bar No. 09601500, IL 6182756
rhiggins@thompsoncoe.com
OMPSON COE. COUSINS & IRONS I

THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P. 700 North Pearl Street, 25th Floor

Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 871-8200 Fax: (214) 871-8209

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT ALLSTATE FIRE AND CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on June 1, 2016, a copy of this document was served to all Counsel of Record via electronic notice and/or certified mail, return receipt requested to:

Robert A. Pollom KETTERMAN ROWLAND & WESTLUND 16500 San Pedro, Suite 302 San Antonio, Texas 78232

> /s/ Christina de la Garza Christina de la Garza